

Title(*):	Mrs
First Name(*):	Denise
Job Title: (where relevant)	
Organisation: (where relevant)	
Address(*)	[REDACTED]
Postcode(*):	[REDACTED]
Telephone number(*):	[REDACTED]
Email Address(*):	[REDACTED]
Agent's details: (if applicable) Include name, address, contact number and email	

To which part of the Core Strategy Review does this representation relate? (Delete as appropriate)(*)	Policies / Policies Map / Other (please specify)
---	--

Please use the space below to tell us specifically where the representation relates to (a policy, the policies map or other text). Do not use this space to make your comments as this is required further down the form. (*)

The allocation of Spondon Wood

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally Compliant? (*) (Delete as appropriate)

Yes / No

Not qualified to comment

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is sound? (*): (Delete as appropriate)

Yes / No

Not qualified to comment

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies with the duty to cooperate? (*) (Delete as appropriate):

Yes / No

Not qualified to comment

Please give details of why you consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this space to set out your comments.

I wish to object to Erewash Borough Council's proposal to de-classify Greenbelt land south of Spondon Wood (SGA26)

The A6069 is already a busy road, especially at peak times and is used by many Heavy Goods Vehicles. The addition of more traffic from any new building on this Greenbelt site will cause further delay, pollution, noise and danger.

There are also bottlenecks regularly in the mornings with the current amount of traffic trying to exit Spondon, Ockbrook and Borrowash, more vehicles will compound this issue.

The fact this land is on the border of Erewash and Derby City will increase Spondon/Derby's need for schools, shops, Doctors, other facilities, etc yet there has been little or no discussion/consultation with Derby City Council as required under Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004

It appears lack of consultation/failure to engage has already lead to a rejection in Sevenoaks District Council V Sec State for Housing Communities and Local Government (2020)

Erewash Council appear to have failed in the appropriate engagement with the public and Derby City Council yet the house building will have little detrimental effect on Erewash but will on Derby and it's residents

The measurement of encroachment into Greenbelt appears to be flawed with measurements taken from incorrect places and should have been from Spondon, not Derby City Centre.

Wildlife will yet again be damaged if not obliterated by any building on this site. A herd of deer are frequent visitors, as well as hedgehogs, badgers, hares, lapwings and buzzards. As well as protected Great Crested Newts

Greenbelt sites should be preserved for the benefit of all and the future of our children

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

This green space is not a suitable site for houses or general construction and Erewash Council is building houses that will stretch Derby's limited resources with no benefits to or for the residents of Spondon and Derby

--

Please note in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?(*) (Delete as appropriate)	No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination