



www.erewash.gov.uk



Core Strategy Review Representation Form – Targeted Consultation

The consultation runs between Monday 15 January and 26 February 2024

For representations to be valid, a full name and address must be provided.

If you need to continue with more space for any of your answers, please attach further pages to this form.

All fields marked with an Asterix (\*) must be completed.

Title(\*)

First Name(\*)

Surname(\*)

Job Title (where relevant)

Organisation (where relevant)

Address(\*)

Postcode(\*)

Telephone number(\*)

Email Address(\*)

Agent's details (if applicable) Include name, address, contact number and email



To which part of the Core Strategy Review does this representation relate? (one or more must be ticked)(\*)

Policies  Policies Map  Other text

Please use the box below to tell us specifically where the representation relates to (a policy, the policies map or other text). Do not use the box to make your comments as this is required further down the form.(\*)

See below.

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is Legally Compliant? (\*)

Yes  No

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review is sound?(\*)

Yes  No

Do you consider the Core Strategy Review complies with the duty to cooperate?(\*)

Yes  No

Please give details of why you consider the Erewash Core Strategy Review is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Review or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

After a brief reading of items on-line and the letter, and this form sent to me around such matters as Core Strategy Review and legal compliance, soundness I have to leave such <sup>matters</sup> to your experience and judgement.

I do however include copies of the 3 letters I have written to Derby City Council, 30 March 2021; Erewash Borough Council, 27 April 2021; and 9 Oct 2023. I hope they, now presented herewith, show my (and my wife's) standpoints and concerns.

W. Kennedy



Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Core Strategy Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note in your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?(\*)

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination



Please use this space to continue any of your answers.

A large, empty rectangular box with a black border, intended for the user to provide answers to questions.

Planning Department,  
Erewash Borough Council,  
Town Hall,  
Wharncliffe Road,  
Ilkeston,  
Derbyshire,  
DE7 5RP.



9 October 2023

Attn. Abby South, Planning Officer  
Re application number 0923/0024

Dear Sir or Madam,

It has been reported in the Derby Telegraph (DT) March 22, 27<sup>th</sup> 2021 and October 2<sup>nd</sup> 2023 that Erewash Council intends to build on their portion of green belt space adjacent to the Pheasants Field estate Spondon which is where Spondon's green belt including Spondon Wood starts. This is also adjacent to the A6096 Spondon- Ilkeston Road.

Bloor Homes is said to be the builder and the application is for 240 homes, maybe more.

I have written to Erewash Borough Council and Derby City Council before this time on this issue (copies of letters dated 30 March 2021 and 27 April 2021 attached herewith).

Apart from comments already made in these letters I add the following:-

This area is said and understood to be designated Greenbelt land and would not meet the criteria for the special circumstances required for construction.

Pressure on local drainage and sewage can be expected to come about. In my/our experience of 50 plus years living in Spondon there have been times of substantial repairs, deep holes dug to repair the said old systems. Sitwell Street and Locko Road come to mind.

Local amenities such as Doctors, Dentists, Schools etc. often oversubscribed, with an increase of say 1000 people will not find matters easy to address. I also think Derby City Council may not get any extra community charge income for change outcomes.

See page 2

(2)

Traffic already struggles to exit Spondon for travel to work, to Derby, or elsewhere. The Dale Road, Spondon village, Willowcroft Road is the only effective route South due to the A52 truncating a number of other roads. How would the route cope with another 500 plus extra cars, traffic lights en-route to boot?

Overall, I feel the plan/proposal is not a good idea at this location. I also feel that Greenbelts clear space should be respected mutually by the administrations/authorities/councils of the two sides (or more) involved or they will tend to disappear and become meaningless such that all people and wildlife will suffer.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box redacting the signature of the sender.

W.Kennedy

Derby City Council  
Council House  
Derby DE1



Attn. Planning and Building Dept.

30 March 2021

Dear Sir or Madam,

It has been reported in the Derby Telegraph March 22, 27<sup>th</sup> that Erewash Council intended to build on their portion of green belt space adjacent to the Pheasants Field estate Spondon which is where Spondon's green belt including Spondon Wood starts This is also adjacent to the A6096 Spondon- Ilkeston Road.

It is known that deer, species of bird and animal wildlife are established in the field and wood in this Spondon area.

Sawley's, Lock Lane was to have been the proposed Erewash build area but failed on the railway level crossing significantly being at times closed to road traffic by rail operations. Its suitability was not strong and why Spondon's green belt area would have been a suitable alternative, although technically part of Erewash is surprising considering the following:-

It would be a great shame to build on this green belt piece and it can be said that it is the Spondon roads and village which take North and South bound traffic from and to Ilkeston/Kirk Hallam areas and West Hallam transportation lorry depot using the A6096 road through Spondon which is strained already.

Spondon has enough traffic problems due to the A52 Borrowwash Bypass built in the 1960s separating its North and South parts. South bound Lodge Lane was truncated; Stoney Lane (was Borrowwash Road) was also dealt much the same although for vehicles a short run-up connection to the A52 eastbound was made.

It has a poor Southbound exit route (Willowcroft Road) which is heavily used by traffic heading to Derby, Raynesway and many more places East and West, including the route to the A50. At normal travel to work times congestion of the village is inevitable.

Traffic limitations could be expressed for any traffic alternatively trying the Ockbrook-Borrowwash route.

Continued on page (2)

(2)

Although Erewash Council might give peoples representation for the area between Derby and Nottingham things can go wrong if green belt areas of theirs or neighbouring councils come under building threats which should be resisted when harm can be done.

More quality and affordable property, preferably with off road parking space, or building new on brown field sites where quality of ground is good. Low rise flats in towns and cities could help, as might conversion of old houses such as Derby's Railway cottages. Such may need the sight of a police person from time to time. Not easy is it! And, yes there will be ground clearance costs,

I would comment on the content of map diagrams shown in the Derby Telegraph 22March. For Spondon, the area of planned Build land was clearly shown in yellow upon green for green belt. Ockbrook, unnamed, although some half mile distance away was shown in black and white, street pattern all in good print, but Spondon was just a plain light grey area at first or second sight. It only at a later time when light came from behind through the sheet that Spondon with its street pattern and its A6096 route became sufficiently visible.

I hope you do not think this letter is just a "nimby" reaction but I write to you in the thought that you can express to Erewash Council Spondon peoples views on what is an unfortunate meeting of green belts.

Yours Truly,

  
W.Kennedy



Erewash Borough Council,  
Town Hall,  
Wharncliffe Road,  
Ilkeston,  
Derbyshire,  
DE7 5RP.



Attn. Mr. S. Birkinshaw

27 April 2021

Dear Sir,

It has been reported in the Derby Telegraph (DT) March 22, 27<sup>th</sup> that Erewash Council intends to build on their portion of green belt space adjacent to the Pheasants Field estate Spondon which is where Spondon's green belt including Spondon Wood starts This is also adjacent to the A6096 Spondon- Ilkeston Road.

Let me first say there is considerable opposition to this proposal for several reasons eg. Save Spondon Wood, Save our Wildlife, Save Spondon Green Belt Land.

Why has what I understand to be called SGA26 site above others been chosen? There should be plenty of other sites closer to Erewash residents.

I have written to Derby City Council regarding this matter, I attach a copy of my DCC letter herewith.

From DT 27<sup>th</sup> article your council receives further criticism on another Green Belt proposal pushing on with planning to build on an earmarked site at Acorn Way in Oakwood /next to Lees Brook Community School and Derby County Football Academy - 600 homes. Derby councillors (and residents?) feel left out of the conversation which again as reported, is another Green Belt issue.

Proper co-ordinated planning between adjacent councils (legal duty to Cooperate) is required. Because detrimental problems will arise re the facilities to cope: School Places; Traffic congestion; Doctors; Dentists and Road Maintenance, etc. These, compounded by the limited traffic egress from Spondon, particularly by Willowcroft Road and the Nottingham Road.

Resulting air pollution should be considered.

(See page 2)

(2)

Who would pay for the above additional facilities, hopefully not Derby City Council.

My letter to Derby City Council mentioned alternative housing brown field housing recovery options, maybe more expensive, but not to be forgotten.

As I wrote to Derby City Council, I hope you do not think this letter is just a "nimby" reaction but I write to you in the thought that you can discuss with DCC and yes, find local people's peoples views on what is an unfortunate meeting of green belts.

Yours truly,

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

W.Kennedy