

Improving Derby Road Junctions

Q1. Ranking Question

Q1. n=556	1	2	3	4	5	Ranking score
Avoid the gridlock from stationary traffic on the roundabouts.	358	81	44	29	44	2348
	64%	15%	8%	5%	8%	
Improve the reliability and accessibility of bus services.	18	61	109	184	173	1202
	3%	11%	20%	34%	32%	
Improve cycle safety.	48	94	155	134	116	1465
	9%	17%	28%	24%	21%	
Coordinate pedestrian crossings with traffic movements.	66	232	119	91	39	1836
	12%	42%	22%	17%	7%	
Maintain or enhance the green appearance of the area.	66	81	121	107	171	1402
	12%	15%	2%	20%	31%	


Q1a. Any other ideas or suggestions.

This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 181 respondents.


	<u>Q1a Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1.	Cycle lanes/cyclist related.	15	8%
2.	Roundabouts.	14	8%
3.	Traffic lights/monitoring cameras.	27	15%
4.	Pedestrian crossings/refuges.	15	8%
5.	Road layout/DCC Highways.	35	19%
6.	By-pass/flyover.	10	6%
7.	Public transport.	6	3%
8.	Traffic management/coordinate roadworks.	13	7%
9.	HS2.	7	4%
10.	Environmental/green issues.	28	15%
99.	Other comments.	11	6%
	Total	181	100%

Pedestrian and Cycleway Improvements.

Q2 to Q4 Pedestrian & Cycleway Improvements.



Q5 to Q7 Pedestrian and Cycleway Improvements.



Pedestrian and Cycleway Improvements.

Q7a. Any other ideas or suggestions.


This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 130 respondents.

<u>Q7a Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Green corridor/environmental issues.	11	8%
2. Bridge related comments.	28	22%
3. Cycleway including road markings, signage, maintenance, cycle facilities.	24	18%
4. Lighting.	10	8%
5. Waterfront/canal.	12	9%
6. Comments relating to cyclists.	12	9%
99. Other comments.	33	25%
Total (*Subject to rounding)	130	*100%


Long Eaton High Street

Q8i to Q8iv Long Eaton High Street.


The High Street should be redesigned and upgraded to match the high design standards of the Market Place.




Pedestrianise the High Street to improve safety, restricting Blue Badge parking to specific high need individuals.




The market should be located back to the traditional Market Place, opposite the Corner Pin.




Provide more planting spaces on the High Street, including small trees.



Q8v to Q8viii Long Eaton High Street.



Q8ix to Q8x Long Eaton High Street.




Q8a. Any other ideas or suggestions.

This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 145 respondents.

<u>Q8a. Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Parking including charges, change of use, Blue Badge parking.	25	17%
2. Pedestrianisation.	6	4%
3. Improve streets and areas adjacent to the town centre.	2	1%
4. Seating areas.	6	4%
5. Wi-Fi	4	3%
6. Market Place and markets.	10	7%
7. Refurbish/regenerate the High Street/ensure disabled access.	28	19%
10. Road network including changes & accessibility.	4	3%
11. Business support.	11	8%
12. Planting, green & environmental.	10	7%
99. Other comments.	39	27%
Total (*Subject to rounding).	145	*100%

Box Office Hub

Q9. Agreement or disagreement re refurbishment of Box Office as a cultural hub.



Q9a

Respondents who disagreed with the proposal to develop for former cinema were asked to explain why. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 45 respondents.

Q9a. Analysis categories.	Count	%
1. Demolish/redevelop the site.	11	24%
2. Restore the cinema.	5	11%
3. Opposition to the proposal.	19	42%
99. Other comments.	10	22%
Total	45	100%

Q10. Ranking question.

Q10. n=556	1	2	3	Ranking Score
An Art cafe with gallery space.	113	189	254	971
	20%	34%	46%	
An auditorium capable of hosting film, projection, lectures and spoken word performance.	231	218	107	1236
	42%	39%	19%	
A multi-functional event space, capable of hosting small scale cultural events, weddings etc.	212	149	195	1129
	38%	27%	35%	

Q10a. Any other suggestions for the use of the Box office.

This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 149 respondents.

<u>Q10a. Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Cinema.	19	13%
2. Opposition to the proposal.	4	3%
3. Accommodation.	10	7%
4. Multi-function venue.	19	13%
5. Demolish the site.	16	11%
6. Not required/already have facilities/concern regarding the impact on existing facilities.	8	5%
7. Arts/crafts or cultural venue.	12	8%
8. Youth provision.	12	8%
10. Music venue.	8	5%
11. Museum.	4	3%
12. Venue suggestions.	17	11%
99. Other comments.	20	13%
Total	149	100%

Q11. Other suggestions for cultural and entertainment attractions.


This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions for cultural and entertainment attractions for Long Eaton.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 100 respondents.


<u>Q11. Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Reference to West Park.	8	8%
3. Re-develop existing sites.	9	9%
4. Opposition to the proposal.	3	3%
5. Venue for music events.	4	4%
6. Types of events.	25	25%
99. Other comments.	51	51%
Total	100	100%

Galaxy Row Development.

Q12. Agree or disagree Galaxy Cinema should be knocked down & redeveloped.



Q13. Do you think the Galaxy redevelopment should:




Q13a. Any other proposals for the former Galaxy cinema site.

This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions. For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 95 respondents.


<u>Q13. Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Cinema/bowling alley/leisure facility.	16	17%
2. Accommodation.	24	25%
3. Shops.	3	3%
4. Demolish/re-use/develop the site.	10	11%
6. Venue suggestions.	14	15%
99. Other comments.	28	29%
Total	95	100%

West Park Events Space

Q14. Do you feel that West Park event space is in need of improvement?



Q15. If improvements are made to West Park, would you like to see an increase in the number of events held?



Q15a Subject to improvements being made to West Park, suggestions for additional events.

This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions regarding additional events to be held at West Park, subject to improvements to the events space.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 182 respondents.

Q15a. Analysis headings.	Count	%
1. Craft fairs/food festivals/farmers markets etc.	17	9%
2. Cinema/open air/Theatre & Plays.	20	11%
3. Music events/concerts/open air events.	61	34%
5. Sporting events.	8	4%
6. Cultural/family & events for children/galas and festivals.	34	19%
7. Vintage/antique/steam rally.	7	4%
8. Non-profit & charity events/community events.	11	6%
10. Comments re West Park facilities.	3	2%
99. Other comments.	21	12%
Total	182	100%

Q16. Any other comments regarding the proposal to improve the events space at West Park.


This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions regarding the proposal to improve the events space West Park.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 105 respondents.


Q16. <u>Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. Parking.	18	17%
2. West Park facilities.	17	16%
3. Drainage/flood plain.	14	13%
4. Lighting/security.	7	7%
5. Green/environmental.	6	6%
99. Other comments.	43	41%
Grand Total	105	100%

Stable Block Managed Workspace Units


Q17. Proposal that the former Stable Block should be converted for commercial use.



Q18. If converted, should the commercial use be as starter units?



Q19. If converted to starter units, should they be a mix of offices and workshops.



Q20. Any other suggestions for the conversion of the Stable Block.


This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions regarding the proposal to convert the Stable Block.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 80 respondents.


Q20. Analysis categories.	Count	%
1. Supportive of the proposal.	13	16%
2. Affordable/attractive to businesses.	6	8%
3. Parking and accessibility.	4	5%
4. Suggestions for use.	29	36%
5. Viability/sustainability.	21	26%
99. Other comments.	7	9%
Total	80	100%

Forbes Park

Q21. Proposal to bring Forbes Park into beneficial use.



Q22. Forbes Park - How should the land be used?



Q23. Any other suggestions regarding the Forbes Park proposal.


This question offered respondents the opportunity to provide any comments or suggestions regarding the proposed development of Forbes Park.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 111 respondents.

Q23. Analysis categories.	Count	%
1. HS2.	56	50%
2. Nature reserve/LNR/environmental.	24	22%
3. Business use suggestions.	11	10%
4. Accommodation.	5	5%
99. Other comments.	15	14%
Total	111	100%

Low Level Railway Line

Q24. Future of Low level Railway Line through Long Eaton.



Q25. Ranking Question - If the Low Level Line is closed, how should the land be used?

Q25. n=556	1	2	3	4	5	Ranking score
A revised route for the HS2 line that avoids the need for demolition west of Bonsall Street and New Tythe Street east of Meadow Lane.	177	88	79	73	139	1759
	32%	16%	14%	13%	25%	
A route for NET tram to be extended into and potentially through Long Eaton.	244	110	58	57	87	2035
	44%	20%	10%	10%	16%	
Additional land to be made available for economic development, including for the extension of Huss's Lane and Manor House Road industrial estates.	16	92	145	189	114	1375
	3%	17%	26%	34%	21%	
Provision of new affordable housing close to the town centre.	45	97	130	150	134	1437
	8%	17%	23%	27%	24%	
Provision of a new linear park to include a cycling route from Nottingham Road to Fields Farm and overflow parking for the town centre.	76	169	144	86	81	1741
	14%	30%	26%	15%	15%	

Q25a. Any other suggestions about how the land currently occupied by the Low Level Railway Line should be used if the line is closed.

For analysis purposes the verbatim comments have been categorised using appropriate headings listed below. Comments were provided by 74 respondents.

<u>Q25a. Analysis categories.</u>	Count	%
1. NET.	8	11%
2. HS2.	25	34%
4. Relieve traffic congestion.	3	4%
5. Long Eaton station.	2	3%
6. Keep the Low Level Line open.	3	4%
7. Cycle routes/environmental.	9	12%
8. More information required.	5	7%
10. Housing.	2	3%
99. Other comments.	17	23%
Total	74	100%