

meeting notes

Title:	Ilkeston and North Community Forum
Date:	Wednesday 17 September 2014
Venue:	Gladstone Lodge, Market Street, Ilkeston
Apologies:	Lesley Flint; Lew Hall; Patrick Pritchett; Gill Riley; Councillor Hart.

No.	Subject	Action / Lead Officer
1	<p>Welcome from the Chair (Ian Marsden)</p> <p>Ian Marsden (IM) welcomed everyone to the meeting. IM explained that all three residents who have chaired the meeting in the past were unavailable to chair the meeting on this occasion. Following a request for other resident volunteers to chair the evening's meeting, it was agreed that IM would chair on this occasion.</p>	
2	<p>Matters arising from the previous meeting</p>	
2.1	<p><i>Prior to the meeting starting a resident approached IM to ask that item 4.10 from the July 2014 meeting notes be included within the notes as a repeat item. The question posed is as follows:</i></p> <p>Would it be possible to have someone from Erewash Borough Council speak about the possibility of fracking within the borough at the next Forum meeting?</p>	
2.2	<p><i>In a telephone call to IM on the afternoon of 17/09/14 a resident stated that they were unhappy with the response supplied in item 4.5 of the meeting notes from July 2014 regarding Kirk Hallam skate park. This has been communicated to Dave Bramwell, Head of Green Space and Street Scene, Erewash Borough Council (as the person supplying the original response in item 4.5) with a view to a further response being provided directly to the resident.</i></p>	
3	<p>The Ilkeston Gateway Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</p>	
3.1	<p>IM introduced Adam Reddish (AR), Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council to deliver a presentation on the draft document and explain about the consultation process, which is due to close on Friday 26 September 2014.</p>	

3.2	<p>AR explained that the purpose of the project was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present a clear set of ambitions for the Gateway area, as defined; and • Provide clarity and certainty to developers and investors; by • Providing a clear planning context within which to invest and drive development. 	
3.3	<p>The ambitions of the project are to build on the impetus provided by the new Ilkeston railway station development and focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improving access and connectivity across the Gateway area and between it and populations and the Town Centre; and • Supporting the regeneration of existing and new employment sites. 	
3.4	<p>The vision for the project is stated as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “For Ilkeston Railway Station to act as a catalyst to improve sustainable transport and drive economic regeneration both within the Gateway area as defined and across Ilkeston Town more generally”. 	
3.5	<p>A map was shown that demonstrated the area of land defined as the ‘Gateway’ and its location in relation to Ilkeston town centre, Cotmanhay and Larklands. The intention is to increase the links between the three areas and the Gateway area, to support people in travelling around the area more effectively.</p>	
3.6	<p>AR stated that within the Gateway draft plan there are two key components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20 proposed access and connectivity improvement projects appraised, ranked and presented. • 13 opportunity sites for the development of new or regeneration of existing employment uses appraised, ranked and presented. <p>AR then explained, in turn, each of the Access and Connectivity Improvement Project Options.</p>	
3.7	<p><u>P1 & P1a -Taxi Rank and Access to Platform 1</u> Installation of a permanent taxi rank and associated access for vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians from Station Road. Provide direct access to Platform 1 from ground level, configured alongside the new taxi rank. Provide secure storage for cyclists.</p> <p>AR stated that it is important that there is a taxi rank at the station that is located within the Erewash borough. This would then allow Erewash licensed taxis to pick up fares from the new railway station.</p>	
3.8	<p><u>P4 – Station Road Improvements</u> Introduce a range of measures, including signalisation, to slow vehicles and make safe for cyclists and pedestrians. Station Road is identified as a key link between the new station and the town centre.</p>	
3.9	<p><u>P14 – Cycle and Pedestrian Link</u> Establish a link between Ilkeston town centre and the station by improving various elements of the defined route and formalising it. The route will need to be made more attractive for pedestrians.</p>	

3.10	<p><u>P2 – New One-Way</u> Make the stretch of road between the Digby Street junction and Millership Way roundabout one-way eastbound to motor vehicles. The reason for this is that, currently, a large volume of HGVs travel eastwards along Station Road. It is considered that it would be preferable for them to travel along Millership Way instead. This would then increase the safety of pedestrians and cyclists travelling along Station Road.</p>	
3.11	<p><u>P3 – Canal Towpath</u> Improve the canal towpath from Bridge Street at Cotmanhay to Green Lane at Larklands including by way of re-surfacing, demarcation, signage and safety enhancements. This is with a view to more people using this route to travel north / south as a cycling / walking route to and from the Gateway area.</p>	
3.12	<p><u>P11 – Path Improvements</u> Improve the footpath and Wentworth Street between the bridge and Station Road to enhance the existing shared space between vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.</p>	
3.13	<p><u>P9 –Path Improvements</u> Improve the existing pedestrian and cycle path between the canal towpath and River Erewash bridge by way of surface improvements, widening and clearance. This work would be to formalise the pathways, thereby making them more walkable.</p>	
3.14	<p><u>P5 – Junction Improvements</u> Make safe and efficient for all users the junction at Station Road / Digby Street, potentially by introducing signalisation and pedestrian and cycle crossing arrangements.</p>	
3.15	<p><u>P13 & P13a – New Access</u> Connect the towpath to the southern end of Digby Industrial Estate, introducing formal access through existing vegetation, over the River Erewash onto permanent surfacing to lead into existing estate infrastructure. Improve existing estate infrastructure. This is with a view of developing a trail network across the Gateway area. It is considered that the canal should play a stronger role in the area and that its potential needs to be realised, both in terms of a transport route and for leisure activities.</p>	
3.16	<p><u>P6 – Connect Cotmanhay</u> Connect the canal towpath to Cotmanhay via the Jervis Court area by way of introducing new permanent surfacing and a new bridge across Stenson’s Lock. Currently the lock gates are used as an informal and unsafe crossing option at this location.</p>	
3.17	<p><u>P17- Improve Green Lane Connection</u> Improve the existing valuable connection between Green Lane and the towpath by way of enhanced demarcation, surface treatments, widening and by re-constructing an existing ramp.</p>	
3.18	<p><u>P15 – Make Permanent Trodden Path</u> To form part of a cycle / pedestrian link between Ilkeston town centre and</p>	

	the railway station (P14), make permanent trodden route established between schools. This would make the path usable in all weathers.	
3.19	<u>P16 – Install Ramp</u> Replace the existing steps with a ramp to allow usage by cyclists as well as the disabled. Part of the wider cycle / pedestrian link between Ilkeston town centre and the railway station.	
3.20	<u>P10 – Replace Bridge</u> Replace the bridge over the River Erewash with a wider alternative, to accommodate safe contraflow cycle and pedestrian traffic.	
3.21	<u>P8 – Connect Towpath to SPD1</u> Connect the towpath to employment site SPD1 (the highest ranked site) by way of introducing a bridge and other associated works. ‘SPD1’ is considered to be the site with the best potential to attract new businesses.	
3.22	<u>P12 - Install Ramp</u> Introduce a long ramp from the towpath to Station Road from the north side of the bridge to accommodate proper access from the towpath to Station Road for cyclists, pedestrians and the disabled.	
3.23	<u>P7 – Connect Bennerley Viaduct</u> Connect Bennerley Viaduct by way of a ramp to the towpath to link the Gateway infrastructure with wider links into Nottinghamshire, i.e. the Gateway’s paths would link into a wider network of pathways.	
3.24	AR explained that projects were appraised and then ranked on a number of factors falling under the headings of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility; and • Perceived Benefits. <p>Considerations under these headings included;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perceived level of cost; • Physical constraints; • Contribution to unlocking employment land; and • Contribution to wider sustainable travel objectives. 	
3.25	As part of delivering the overall vision, alongside the identification and appraisal of 20 access and connectivity improvement projects, 13 opportunity sites for the development of new or regeneration of existing employment uses were appraised, ranked and presented as part of the SPD.	
3.26	Sites were initially defined by; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limiting any land identified to being within a 0.5 mile radius of the station site; • Excluding sites that were too physically disconnected from the Gateway, such as by severance; • Identifying land already contained within the borough council’s Employment Land study (2011); • Excluding land that already served important purposes, such as green amenity space or the Waterside Retail Park; and • Excluding sites that were contrary to Development Plan and corporate policy. 	

3.27	<p>After their initial identification, sites were appraised and then ranked on a number of factors falling under the headings of –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Availability; and • Deliverability. <p>This approach was in line with national guidance that has shaped employment land studies at councils across the country.</p>	
3.28	<p>Considerations under these headings included;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The size of a site; • Development Control status (i.e. are there any existing planning permissions?); • Occupation levels of a site (i.e. is the site currently operating at its full potential?); • Access to/from the site; • Ownership constraints; • Physical constraints on site; • Surrounding uses. 	
3.29	<p>Public consultation is due to end Friday 26 September 2014.</p> <p>Visit www.erewash.gov.uk/gatewayspd for all the information, including the Consultation Guidance note.</p> <p>AR then invited questions from the meeting's attendees.</p>	
3.30	<p><i>Resident:</i></p> <p>Are there any plans for new housing developments within the Gateway area? If there is success in bringing new businesses and jobs to this area then this will bring additional demands on the existing housing and school infrastructure. How would they both cope?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i></p> <p>Bringing new jobs to the Erewash borough could result in the need for additional housing over and above the figure already agreed in our adopted Core Strategy (e.g. if a large multi-national employer wanted to locate in Erewash bringing thousands of new jobs and a mass relocation of workers from different parts of the UK into Erewash).</p> <p>However, in the case of the Gateway area, even though the plans are ambitious and will hopefully result in a sizeable net increase in employment on those targeted sites, the volume of new housing planned through the Core Strategy is currently more than sufficient to meet the needs of all those who will require housing out to 2028.</p> <p>In terms of school provision we have a good dialogue with Derbyshire County Council regarding pupil requirements going forward. It's true that some Ilkeston schools currently need more capacity and the borough council, when engaging with developers, encourage them to contribute towards the expansion of educational provision.</p>	
3.31	<p><i>Resident:</i></p> <p>What is the distance between the new station and the town centre?</p>	

	<p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> About a mile. We wish to encourage people to walk from the Gateway area to the town centre, for their lunch for example whilst they are working in the Gateway area.</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Any developments that are considered traditional town centre uses need to be located within the town centre. We do not wish to encourage them within the Gateway area.</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> This is why we need this plan in place now. We need to set out our vision for which sectors go where. We have been talking to the companies that are currently based in the Gateway area and they tell us that they have employees who live in either Cotmanhay and Larklands who walk or cycle to and from work. This is not because they leave their car at home; it's because they do not have a car. It is imperative therefore that we develop the pathway and cycleway network in and out of the Gateway area.</p>	
3.32	<p><i>Councillor Custance:</i> In terms of the maps, for clarity, I would encourage you to include brief descriptions of the proposals within the map for ease of understanding what's proposed.</p>	
3.33	<p><i>Resident:</i> Will there be a bus connection between the station and the town centre?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> We are working with Trent Barton to encourage them to make such a provision. We have to be mindful, of course, that Trent Barton is a commercial organisation that will not consider supporting an unprofitable route. Erewash Borough Council is in the process of employing a Travel Planner and, once in post, this person will be charged with developing the relationship with Trent Barton and looking at public transport provision across the Gateway area.</p>	
3.34	<p><i>Resident:</i> Will there be any public toilets at the new station?</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> I'm not certain. The new station proposal was a Derbyshire County Council planning application (that has been given permission in its current form). It is the Development Control team at Erewash Borough Council who is dealing with this work directly and would therefore know of this detail. I will seek clarification from them.</p> <p><i>Action: Andrew Johnson to seek clarification on whether public toilets are to be provided at the new railway station.</i></p> <p><i>On 19/09/14 Richard Snow, Development Control Manager, Erewash Borough Council confirmed that public toilets will not be provide at the new Ilkeston railway station.</i></p>	EBC to clarify
3.35	<p><i>Resident:</i> When will signage be introduced to the Gateway area? This is very important in guiding cyclists and pedestrians. I have lived in Ilkeston for</p>	

	<p>eleven years and I would not know how to navigate this area on foot or on a bike.</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Again, this is part of the remit of the new Travel Planner. Signage will be key in altering people's current travel behaviours.</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Signage is covered in the SPD document as 'P18'. It will be added to the area on an on-going basis as other development work is completed.</p>	
3.36	<p><i>Resident:</i> What are the timescales for the project?</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Again, recruiting the Travel Planner is key. It looks as if this person could be in post within the next couple of months.</p>	
3.37	<p><i>Councillor Birkin:</i> There is currently only one bus per hour along Station Road to the town centre. Is this likely to change?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Again, Erewash Borough Council is in the process of employing a Travel Planner and, once in post, this person will be charged with developing the relationship with Trent Barton to encourage them to increase their current provision.</p>	
3.38	<p><i>Councillor Dawson:</i> Gardner Aerospace moved from its location on Cotmanhay Road to a new site in Derby. It would be tragic if we lost more industries like this from our area.</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> We know that businesses need modern infrastructures to operate successfully and this is taken into account in the plan.</p>	
3.39	<p><i>Councillor Birkin:</i> There is heavy traffic at the roundabout where Chalons Way and Rutland Street meet. Is anything been done within the plan to improve this situation?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> There is nothing specific within the SPD, and we would encourage you to comment formally regarding this.</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> We work closely with Derbyshire County Council in terms of traffic modelling.</p>	
3.40	<p><i>Councillor Wilson:</i> Are there any suggestions that traffic controls might be introduced to the roundabout where Chalons Way and Rutland Street meet?</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Derbyshire County Council may have a view on this but there is nothing</p>	

	within the SPD.	
3.41	<p><i>Resident:</i> When is the station due to open?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> The Spring of 2015.</p>	
3.42	<p><i>Resident:</i> Have you approached any businesses about relocating to the Gateway area?</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> We have been focussing on the businesses already within the Gateway area first. We need to understand their needs going forward, for example, might they be looking to expand?</p>	
3.43	<p><i>Resident:</i> Is there any provision for the extension of the Nottingham tram network to Eastwood and Kimberley? Could the tram network travel across the Bennerley Viaduct, for example, to link the Gateway area?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> The Nottingham tram network extension is not something that we've given active consideration to at this stage. Again, we would encourage you to comment formally regarding this.</p>	
3.44	<p><i>Resident:</i> Are there any plans to encourage greater tourism to the town, for example to the museum? Which route would you encourage people to take?</p> <p><i>Adam Reddish, Senior Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> Visitors could enter the town in a number of ways, with each taking them past different features, so there's not one preferred tourist route.</p> <p><i>Andrew Johnson, Planning Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> The Canal and River Trust has been a very useful partner in this respect.</p>	
4	Questions for officers	
4.1	<p><u>Cotmanhay Community Café</u></p> <p>IM introduced Toni Jantschenko, Erewash Village Games Co-ordinator, to briefly speak about the 'Cotmanhay Community Café'. Toni explained that the 'Cotmanhay Community Café' consultation event is planned for Thursday 9 October, between 6pm and 8pm, to be held at the Sure Start Centre on Beauvale Drive.</p> <p>Residents of Cotmanhay, of all ages, are invited to come along to the event to share ideas and information about sports and activities that are already up and running or that they would like to see in Cotmanhay.</p> <p>The event is very informal. People will be invited to sit in small groups at a table and talk about four questions while they enjoy refreshments. They will be asked what they would like to see in the Cotmanhay area with a</p>	

	<p>view to making it happen.</p> <p>If people are interested in attending please e-mail Toni as soon as possible via toni@villagegames.org.uk. Alternatively you can telephone her to book your place on 07584 856292. This will allow Toni to organise the catering for the event.</p>	
4.2	<p><u>Introducing double yellow lines</u></p> <p><i>Resident:</i> When parking is so bad on a narrow street that sometimes getting on / off your drive is dangerous or impossible, who do you get to come and look to see if double yellow lines could be deemed to be necessary?</p> <p><i>Paul Jameson, Local Area Forum Liaison Officer, Derbyshire County Council:</i> If there is a lack of off-street parking in a particular street then it can be an unpopular move with residents for the on-street parking provision to be reduced by introducing double yellow lines. Derbyshire County Council uses a set of criteria to assess whether double yellow lines are warranted at a particular location. This includes, for example, the collision history with parked vehicles at the location or whether the parked cars contribute to a severe congestion problem.</p> <p>It should be noted that if you are prevented from getting on / off your driveway by a vehicle blocking it then you should contact the local Civil Enforcement Officers. They will attend the scene and, if you are willing to sign a statement confirming that you were prevented from entering / leaving your driveway, issue a penalty fine to the owner of the vehicle causing the obstruction.</p> <p>In the absence of waiting restrictions, other forms of obstructive or dangerous parking are matters for the Police to enforce.</p> <p>Please see me at the end of the meeting and I will take some further details from you.</p>	
4.3	<p><u>Dog fouling stencils</u></p> <p><i>Resident:</i> Since the talk at the Community Forum on 08/07/14 and the advertising campaign about dog fouling, the dog fouling spray motif has appeared in my road and directly outside my property.</p> <p>Being the local Neighbourhood Watch Coordinator I have taken the opportunity to ask members their opinions and the majority are against such graffiti and feel it makes the street look untidy.</p> <p>One member told me, however, that she had requested for the stencils to be placed on the street. She works for the council and, as she knew the contractors were in the area, asked them to come down our street. I must add that the motif is not located outside her property.</p> <p>I asked under a Freedom of Information request how many complaints there have been about dog fouling in my street in the last three years. There have been five, either verbal or written, but no exact detail of the</p>	

	<p>complaints has been kept.</p> <p>With so little a number of complaints, and keeping an eye out myself, I do not feel that there is any need for these signs on the pavement. I would like to ask the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please confirm why the council considered Duke Street to need these motifs. • Why were residents not asked their opinion when clearly more than five are against it? • What was the cost of carrying out this work? • Why has the lady who works for the council not got a motif outside her house? • Is this some sort of covert branding exercise? Outside houses that have dogs? • Why were the residents not consulted? • Can you come and remove the motifs? <p><i>Scott Cartledge, Neighbourhood Warden Manager, Erewash Borough Council</i></p> <p>As mentioned in the previous Forum meeting, we use the stencils as they are deliberately eye-catching and draw people's attention to the dog fouling issue. We have had positive feedback from residents regarding their effectiveness at combating the dog fouling issue. We do not have to seek permission from residents to introduce the stencils, and they naturally wash away within a maximum six month period.</p> <p><i>Resident:</i></p> <p>I am considering raising a petition from residents in our street to have the stencils removed.</p>	
4.4	<p><u>Litter in Cotmanhay</u></p> <p><i>Resident:</i></p> <p>There is definitely a problem relating to rubbish lying around the streets and jitty ways of Cotmanhay. Why do the council not tackle this issue as vigorously as they do dog fouling? Is it because the council are responsible for causing it, in that the green rubbish bags used for recycling are not fit for purpose, or do you prefer to tackle something that is not really an issue, e.g. dog fouling?</p> <p>Rubbish is a continual problem and I have not seen any council representative enforcing anything and there are no signs telling people not to litter.</p> <p>What active role is the council going to take? Can we have a road sweeper again? The council has ample reserves to draw from to fund this; the auditors have confirmed that council funds are healthy.</p> <p><i>There then followed a discussion regarding the issues of dog fouling and litter, in terms of which of the two was the greater issue. The general consensus was that all areas are different and that one cannot generalise.</i></p> <p><i>Councillor Dawson:</i></p> <p>Not all litter is the same. For example, if discarded needles are discovered</p>	

	<p>then they will be cleared very promptly by the council as they pose an obvious danger to public health.</p> <p><i>Councillor Custance:</i> I recently reported the discovery of discarded needles in Great Northern Close, Cotmanhay, and the council cleared them very quickly.</p> <p><i>Ian Marsden, Community Engagement Officer, Erewash Borough Council:</i> I will contact the council's Green Space and Street Scene team and ask them to respond to the points you make about clearing litter in Cotmanhay.</p> <p><i>Action: Ian Marsden contacted the Green Space and Street Scene team on 18/09/14.</i></p> <p><i>Response from Dave Bramwell, Head of Green Space and Street Scene, Erewash Borough Council on 25/09/14:</i> Erewash Borough Council is legally responsible for the sweeping of streets and removal of litter from public spaces. If the visual appearance of an area should deteriorate to an unacceptable level between cleans, it will be cleaned up within a certain time, as laid down in a Litter Code of Practice under the Environmental Protection Act 1990.</p> <p>To assist us with this, I would be very grateful if residents could identify more specifically the streets and areas where they consider litter to be a particular problem. This will then allow me to deploy extra resources with the greatest efficiency. It may be the case that the land to which they refer is the responsibility of another organisation. For example, the cleaning of Linear Park in Cotmanhay is the responsibility of Derbyshire County Council. There are also a number of footpaths owned by East Midlands Homes. We need to know the exact locations of the littering issues to ensure that the correct organisation deals with the problem.</p>	<p>IM to contact Green Space & Street Scene team</p>
<p>4.5</p>	<p><u>Pavements on Duke Street</u></p> <p><i>Resident:</i> In my role as Neighbourhood Watch Coordinator, a number of residents have complained to me about the uneven state of the pavements on Duke Street. Please can you let me know what action the council will take to review and repair these pavements and the timescale to do this work?</p> <p><i>Paul Jameson, Local Area Forum Liaison Officer, Derbyshire County Council:</i></p> <p>Derbyshire County Council has Highway Inspectors operating across the county, who undertake a rolling programme to inspect all our footways and carriageways.</p> <p>Where dangerous defects (i.e. trip hazards) are identified, they will be issued for repair. If more extensive works are required – i.e. slurry sealing or full footway resurfacing – the locations will be added to a proposal list for consideration when funds become available.</p> <p>That being said, we do also carry out further inspections where residents report concerns to us. I'll ask for the local Highway Inspector to take a look at the Duke Street footway to establish whether any works are required.</p>	

4.6	<p><u>D-Day Normandy landings</u></p> <p><i>Resident:</i> Whilst I appreciate all the events that the council have planned in relation to commemorating World War One, why has nothing been done regarding the 1944 D-Day landings? I spoke to the Royal British Legion about this, and they confirmed that Erewash Borough Council had not approached them regarding any such commemoration. I feel that this is something that should be done as soon as possible whilst the veterans are still with us.</p> <p><i>Lorraine Poyser, Director of Community Services, Erewash Borough Council:</i> I think the numerous events that we have committed resources to, as shown in the previous meeting's notes, demonstrates our commitment as a council to recognising the sacrifice made by veterans. We will certainly investigate what we can do in respect of the resources that we have at our disposal.</p> <p><i>Response from Erewash Borough Council on 22/09/14:</i> As in every year, Erewash Borough Council will be co-ordinating and funding the Remembrance Services on Sunday 9 November and the Armistice Day Service on Tuesday 11 November. The speeches delivered at these events will specifically acknowledge that this year is the 70th anniversary of the D-Day Normandy landings.</p>	<p>EBC to investigate feasibility of commemorating D-Day landings</p>
4.12	The Chair closed the meeting at 8:40pm.	
	<p>Date and time of next meeting Wednesday 21 January 2015, 7:00pm. Venue to be confirmed.</p>	